CHILTERN DISTRICT COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE - 12th July 2018

INDEX TO APPLICATIONS ON MAIN LIST OF REPORT

Great Missenden

CH/2018/0243/FA Ward: Prestwood And Heath End Page No: 2

Proposal: Demolition of existing single garage and erection of a pair of 3-bed semi-detached dwellings,

together with associated access, parking, landscaping, bin and cycle storage

Recommendation: Conditional Permission

Land at The Green Man Public House, 2 High Street, Prestwood, Buckinghamshire, HP16 9EB

<u>Penn</u>

CH/2018/0619/AV Ward: Penn And Coleshill Page No: 11 Proposal: Display of advertisement boards to the southern side of football pitch

Recommendation: Conditional consent

Penn and Tylers Green Football Club, Elm Road, Penn, Buckinghamshire, HP10 8LG

Chalfont St Peter

CH/2018/0776/FA Ward: Central Page No: 17

Proposal: Front, side and rear extensions with raising of roof to provide first floor accommodation with

front and rear dormers

Recommendation: Conditional Permission

Noigls, 2 Chestnut Close, Chalfont St Peter, Buckinghamshire, SL9 0AE

REPORT OF THE HEAD OF PLANNING & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Main List of Applications 12th July 2018

CH/2018/0243/FA

Case Officer: Lucy Wenzel

Date Received: 12.02.2018 Decide by Date: 06.07.2018

Parish: Great Missenden Ward: Prestwood And Heath End

App Type: Full Application

Proposal: Demolition of existing single garage and erection of a pair of 3-bed semi-detached

dwellings, together with associated access, parking, landscaping, bin and cycle

storage

Location: Land at

The Green Man Public House

2 High Street Prestwood Buckinghamshire

HP16 9EB

Applicant: Punch Partnerships (PML) Limited

SITE CONSTRAINTS

Article 4 Direction

Adjacent to A and B Road

Adjacent to Unclassified Road

Within Chilterns Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

A and B Roads

Within 500m of Site of Importance for Nature Conservation NC1

Townscape Character

Established Residential Area of Special Character

COMMITTEE CALL IN

Councillor Gladwin has called this application to Planning Committee should the recommendation be for approval.

SITE LOCATION

The application site is located on the western side of Broombarn Lane, to the north of The Green Man Public House on the northern side of the High Street in Prestwood. The site currently consists of part of the beer garden of the pub and a single garage.

The site is within the Chilterns Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB).

THE APPLICATION

Page 2

Classification: OFFICIAL

This application seeks planning permission to demolish the existing garage and erect a pair of semi-detached houses. New access will be taken from an existing access point off Broombarn Lane.

The proposed building measures a maximum depth of 11.1 metres, width of 11 metres, ridge height of 8 metres and eaves height of 5.3 metres.

The proposed materials are red multi-stock facing brickwork with plain clay vertical hanging tile above. The roof will be constructed from plain clay tile.

Each dwelling has a kitchen/dining room, living room and W/C on the ground floor; and 3 bedrooms, family bathroom and en-suite on the first floor. Each dwelling also has two designated car parking spaces to the front.

RELEVANT PLANNING HISTORY

CH/2017/0838/FA - Demolition of existing single garage and erection of a pair of semi-detached houses served by access from Broombarn Lane - conditional permission.

This application was subsequently overturned at Committee with members refusing the application on the grounds of the overdevelopment of the site which would result in a cramped and visually intrusive form of development. Therefore, the development would adversely affect the character and appearance of the street scene.

The application was appealed by the applicant but was dismissed by the Inspector on the grounds that the proposal would be harmful to the character and appearance of the area.

PARISH COUNCIL

No objection.

REPRESENTATIONS

Six letters of objection have been received from neighbouring occupiers. The comments are summarised below:

- The road is within the Chilterns AONB and therefore any development should be in sympathy with the existing.
- The development would be intrusive into the countryside.
- There is no additional room for visitor parking on site and there is insufficient turning room.
- There are a number of mature, established trees whose removal would have a negative impact on the neighbourhood in general.
- The proposed development is not in alignment with the rest of the street.
- The development will see the removal of some of the pub garden.
- Was previously refused and the application has not significantly changed.

CONSULTATIONS

Chiltern and South Bucks Fire Fighting Access

I can confirm that the proposals as shown meet the requirements of the Building Regulations for Fire Brigade access.

Chiltern and South Bucks District Tree Officer

The application includes an Arboricultural Implications Assessment and Method Statement as well as a Topographical Survey.

Under the previous applications, which had poor plans, I had assumed that the boundary of the site coincided with the existing boundary of the car park. However it is now clear that the current proposal would involve the loss of part of the existing car park as well as parts of the existing beer garden.

The proposed dwellings are in similar position to the previous applications and the proposals for the rear of the site are similar. A spruce about 12m in height and some smaller trees including a goat willow about 8m in height and a purple-leaved plum about 6m in height would be lost at the rear of the proposed houses but none of these is considered to be important. A Robinia (false acacia) about 18m in height is shown on the plan to be retained close to The Green Man at the edge of the car park and should not be affected by the proposal.

However the proposals for the area in front of the dwellings is now different with the trees shown retained. The group of sycamores with some ash about 18m in height immediately in front of the building is now shown to be retained but this would create a poor relationship with the building. One sycamore would have branches extending into the building requiring some trimming and it seems likely that this tree would be lost. The other trees would dominate the building and would be likely to cause concerns to future occupiers about light, debris and safety.

The application proposes a parking area for house 1 (H1) largely on the site of the existing garage using nodig construction with the retention of the ash, holly and hazel towards the road. However the proposal does not show no-dig construction for the pedestrian access to H1.

The most important tree in the immediate vicinity is a large ash about 20m in height on the corner of Broombarn Lane with the existing access to Roseberry and Whitecroft, just outside the application. This tree is shown to be retained and should not be affected by the proposed development. However there is some decay at the base of the tree.

I have some concerns about the relationship of the group of sycamore and ash at the front of the site with the proposed building but these trees are not of particularly high quality. Nonetheless they are fairly prominent in the street scene and they do contribute to the character of the area. I would not object to the application provided there is adequate protection for the retained trees including the measures proposed in the tree report. However no-dig construction for the pedestrian access to H1 would also be necessary.

Amended plans were sought to reduce the potential impact on existing trees sited to the front of the plot and additional comments were as such received from the Tree Officer.

Revised plans have now been submitted including the Proposed Site Layout Rev P4 and a revised Tree Protection Plan Eco 3. These show the proposed semi-detached houses moved back on the site by just over a metre so that the front elevation is now more in line with the adjacent property Rosebury. This would improve the relationship of the proposed building with the group of sycamores and ash (G8) in front of the proposed building, and reduce the likely pressure for future tree work. However the trees would still be fairly close and dominant, and may still cause concern to future residents.

The slight change in position would have little effect on the Robinia T1. I note that the Tree Protection Plan still does not show no-dig construction for the pedestrian path to the dwelling H1.

In summary, the revised plans are a slight improvement from a tree point of view but the building is still closer than ideal to the trees.

Buckinghamshire County Council Highway Authority

I note that the Highway Authority has provided previous comments for this site, most recently for application no. CH/2017/0838/FA, which in a response dated 6th June 2017, the Highway Authority had no objection subject to conditions. Having reviewed the submitted documents, I would not consider this application to materially differ from a highways perspective. Therefore I will reiterate my comments below.

"High Street is an unclassified road subject to a speed limit of 30mph. The application seeks planning permission for the demolition of the existing single garage and the erection of a pair of semi-detached houses in its place.

When considering trip generation, I would expect each dwelling to generate between 4-6 daily vehicular movements, two-way. Therefore the overall development would have the potential to generate between 8-12 daily vehicular movements, two-way. I am satisfied that these additional vehicle movements can be accommodated within the local highway network.

Both dwellings are to be served by an existing access off Broombarn Lane which currently serves two dwellings. I note that the application site boundary does not include the private access drive. Whilst not a planning reason for refusal, the applicant will need to demonstrate that a legal right of access is achievable.

As this access is to be subject to an intensification in use, it is imperative to ensure that the access is safe and suitable to accommodate additional traffic movements. In accordance with guidance contained within Manual for Streets, visibility splays of 2.4m x 43m are required in both directions commensurate with a 30mph speed limit. I am satisfied that these visibility splays can be achieved within the public maintained highway or within land owned by the applicant.

The access point serving the existing two dwellings measures in the region of 5m for the initial section of the site, before narrowing down to 3.2m at a pinch point with the existing tree at the site entrance. Normally, the Highway Authority would require an access width measuring 4.8m when considering access points serving over 3 dwellings. However, given the short distance from the proposed access point to the public highway, I do not believe that I could reasonably insist upon this access width being provided in this instance. However, the access will need to be upgraded to an adequate construction in order to prevent vehicles from dragging loose materials onto the public highway in this location.

Whilst I trust you will determine the adequacy of the parking provision, I can confirm that the four proposed parking spaces will not have an adverse impact on highway safety and convenience, are of adequate dimensions and would allow for adequate space within the site for vehicles to turn and egress in a forward gear."

Mindful of the above, I have no objection to the proposals subject to conditions.

POLICIES

National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

Core Strategy for Chiltern District - Adopted November 2011: Policies CS4, CS20, CS22, CS25 and CS26.

The Chiltern Local Plan Adopted 1 September 1997 (including alterations adopted 29 May 2001) Consolidated September 2007 & November 2011. Saved Policies: GC1, GC3, H3, H11, H12, LSQ1, CSF2, TR2, TR11 and TR16.

Sustainable Construction and Renewable Energy Supplementary Planning Document - Adopted 25 February 2015.

EVALUATION

Principle of development

- 1. The site is located within the built up area of Prestwood, where in accordance with Policy H3, proposals for new dwellings are acceptable in principle subject to there being no conflict with any other Local Plan policy. Proposals should be compatible with the character of those areas by respecting the general density, scale, siting, height and character of buildings in the locality of the application site, and the presence of trees, shrubs, lawns and verges. The site is also within the AONB, where development should conserve or enhance the scenic beauty of the rural landscape.
- 2. In addition, Policy CS2 of the Core Strategy states that the Council will facilitate limited development in the built up areas of villages excluded from the Green Belt, including Prestwood.
- 3. The previous application was refused at Planning Committee and dismissed at appeal. There were no objections to the principle of the proposal, as the community facility would not be lost. The Local Planning Authority's refusal stated that:

"The proposed development would result in two houses being shoehorned into the plot, resulting in minimal space around the building, extensive hardstanding and pressure to remove trees around the site. By virtue of its layout and scale, the proposal would represent an overdevelopment of the site, resulting in a cramped and visually intrusive form of development which would adversely affect the character and appearance of the street scene and would relate poorly to the spacious character of the neighbouring Established Residential Area of Special Character along Broombarn Lane to the north. This is contrary to Policies GC1 and H3 of the Chiltern District Local Plan Adopted 1 September 1997 (including alterations adopted 29 May 2001) Consolidated September 2007 & November 2011 and Policy CS20 of the Core Strategy for Chiltern District - adopted November 2011."

However, the Appeal Inspector did not agree with elements of this refusal. He stated that, "I am satisfied that the proposed pair of semi-detached houses would not look out of place as they have been designed to imitate a single detached dwelling, with only one door to the front elevation. Furthermore, in view of the more compressed nature of the western side of the road, I am also satisfied that the extent of gap between the development and Roseberry would not be harmful to the character of the area." As such the concerns relating to the shoehorned nature of the development and the minimal space around the building were not upheld by the Inspector and these cannot be reintroduced under the current proposal. A pair of semi-detached dwellings is therefore acceptable on the site, subject to addressing the Inspector's detailed concerns.

4. The Appeal Inspector's concerns related to several specific issues. He stated:

"I am not satisfied on the basis of the evidence before me that the larger Sycamore and Ash specimens to the site frontage would be capable of being retained and/or protected given the layout of the parking area and their close proximity to it. Although I recognise that these are not high quality specimens, they are nonetheless very prominent trees and make a significant contribution to the verdant character of the area.

Furthermore, given that both front gardens to the new dwellings would be predominantly laid to hardstanding for off-road parking and turning facilities, the amount of space available for soft landscaping would be small and not of a sufficient size to accommodate extensive new planting; particularly new trees that are capable of maturing and forming significant focal points in the streetscene to replace those substantial specimens that would be lost. As a consequence, I have concluded that the cumulative impact of the site's prominent position, insufficient soft landscaping space and more open front garden would result in the

development being dominated by off-road parking and out of keeping with the soft-landscape character of Broombarn Lane.

The above harm to the character of the area would be compounded by the stark appearance of the proposed 1.8 metre high close-boarded fence to the southern boundary. This would result in a visually intrusive form of development that would be out of keeping with the locally distinctive character of the Public House and Nos 4 to 10 High Street and their public facing brick/flint boundary treatments."

So the Inspector's concerns related specifically to the potential loss of large trees, the inadequate space available for soft landscaping, particularly new trees capable of replacing those lost (this point will obviously be addressed if the existing trees are to be retained), and the stark appearance of the close-boarded fence.

Design/character & appearance

5. In relation to the first of the Appeal Inspector's concerns, the original submitted layout was set closer to the group of sycamore with some ash located immediately to the front of the proposed principal building line. On the plans, these were shown to be retained and therefore the extending branches off of the trees would create a poor relationship with the proposed dwellings, would dominate the building and would be likely to cause concerns to future residents about light, debris and safety. The Appeal Inspector stated:

"I am not satisfied on the basis of the evidence before me that the larger Sycamore and Ash specimens to the site frontage would be capable of being retained and/or protected given the layout of the parking area and their close proximity to it. Although I recognise that these are not high quality specimens, they are nonetheless very prominent trees and make a significant contribution to the verdant character of the area."

In light of these comments a revised layout was requested and subsequently submitted which has relocated the proposed dwellings further to the west of the plot. This has provided increased separation from the retained trees sited to the front of the plot and reduces potential concerns that these trees would adversely affect the proposed development. The District Tree Officer has commented that the repositioning of the dwellings within the plot has improved the relationship with the group of sycamore and ash. In response to the Appeal Inspector's comments that there could be a potential loss of these trees, given that the revised layout locates the dwellings further to the west, the increased separation reduces this potential and as such the concerns raised by the Appeal Inspector are considered to be overcome.

- 6. In relation to the Appeal Inspector's second concern, having consideration for the revised submitted plans; there is now more space for soft landscaping at the front of the site as a result of the repositioning of the dwellings further to the west. The improved distance between the group of existing large trees and the principal elevations of the proposed dwellings achieves a larger area for soft landscaping to be accommodated and provides increased spacing to be able to maintain and enable maturity of new trees should they be planted. As such this concern identified by the Appeal Inspector is also considered to be overcome.
- 7. The third point made by the Inspector was in relation to the 1.8 metre high close-boarded fencing to be erected along the southern boundary. This boundary line which is in direct view from the High Street and upon entrance into Prestwood from Great Missenden is now proposed to be demarcated by a 1.8 metre brick wall and a 0.9 metre timber post and rail fence. The brick wall will face onto the gravel car park of the Public House, whilst the post and rail fence will border an area of lawn associated with the Public House. The "stark contrast" as stated by the Inspector that the 1.8 m fence would create is considered to be reduced. Although the proposed brick wall will be of identical height to the previous proposed fencing it is considered to break up the length of fencing and mimics the flank elevation of the dwellings. It will reflect the public facing

brick/flint boundary treatments of the Public House and Nos 4 to 10 High Street, as identified by the Appeal Inspector.

Neighbouring amenity

8. No objections were previously raised by the Council or the Appeal Inspector in relation to the impact of the scheme on the amenities of neighbouring properties. No flank elevation windows are proposed under this scheme and the siting of the dwellings is in identical positioning to those previously proposed. Whilst comments from neighbouring residents are again noted, as no previous concerns were raised and the scheme does not affect neighbouring amenity levels in a materially different way to the previous scheme, it is considered that no harm will arise to neighbouring amenities.

Parking/highways implications

- 9. No objections were previously raised by the Council or the Appeal Inspector in relation to parking or access. There are two car parking spaces provided for each dwelling which complies with the standards set out in Policy TR16 of the Local Plan. The Highways Authority were again consulted on the application and they concluded that the proposed spaces would not have an adverse impact on highway safety and convenience, are of adequate dimensions and there is sufficient manoeuvring space for vehicles to turn within the site and exit in a forward gear. The Highway Authority also raised no objection to the increase in vehicular movements and state that these can easily be accommodated within the local highway network.
- 10. Access is to be taken from the existing driveway currently serving two dwellings (Roseberry and Whitecroft). As such, a new vehicular access to a public road will not be formed, as the access to the site would come off a private drive. The proposal will result in two additional dwellings using this driveway and as there will be some intensification, increased visibility splays are required onto Broombarn Lane. As with the previous scheme, the Highway Authority states that these can be achieved within the public maintained highway or within land owned by the applicant. As such, it is reasonable to impose a condition to require these visibility splays to be provided and maintained, if permission is forthcoming.

Affordable Housing

11. For proposals under five dwellings, Policy CS8 of the Core Strategy requires a financial contribution towards off-site affordable housing to be made. However, there are now specific circumstances set out in the National Planning Practice Guidance where contributions for affordable housing and tariff style planning obligations (section 106 planning obligations) should not be sought from small scale development, including developments of 5 units or less in the AONB, which have a gross floor space of less than 1,000 square metres. This applies to the current scheme and is more up to date guidance than Policy CS8, therefore it has to take precedence. As before, no affordable housing contribution is therefore required.

Working with the applicant

- 12. In accordance with paragraphs 186 and 187 of the NPPF Chiltern District Council take a positive and proactive approach to development proposals focused on solutions. Chiltern District Council works with applicants/agents in a positive and proactive manner by:
- offering a pre-application advice service, and
- as appropriate updating applicants/agents of any issues that may arise in the processing of their application and where possible suggesting solutions.
- In this case, Chiltern District Council has considered the details as submitted which were considered acceptable.

Human Rights

13. The following recommendation is made having regard to the above and also to the content of the Human Rights Act 1998.

RECOMMENDATION: Conditional Permission Subject to the following conditions:-

- 1 C108A General Time Limit
- 2 Before any construction work commences, details of the materials to be used for the external construction of the development hereby permitted, including the surface materials for the pedestrian access, shall be made available to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall only be carried out in the approved materials.

Reason: To ensure that the external appearance of the development is not detrimental to the character of the locality, in accordance with Policies GC1, LSQ1 and H3 of the Chiltern District Local Plan Adopted 1 September 1997 (including alterations adopted 29 May 2001) consolidated September 2007 and November 2011, and Policy CS20 and CS22 of the Core Strategy for Chiltern District (Adopted November 2011).

Prior to the commencement of any works on site, detailed plans showing the existing ground levels and the proposed slab and finished floor levels of the dwellings hereby permitted shall be made available to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Such levels shall be shown in relation to a fixed datum point located outside the application site. Thereafter the development shall not be constructed other than as approved in relation to the fixed datum point.

Reason: To protect, as far as is possible, the character of the locality, in accordance with Policies GC1 and H3 of The Chiltern District Local Plan Adopted 1 September 1997 (including alterations adopted 29 May 2001) Consolidated September 2007 and November 2011 and Policy CS20 of The Core Strategy for Chiltern District, Adopted November 2011.

4 Prior to the occupation of the development minimum vehicular visibility splays of 43m from 2.4m back from the edge of the carriageway from both sides of the existing access onto Broombarn Lane shall be provided in accordance with the approved plans and the visibility splays shall be kept clear from any obstruction between 0.6m and 2.0m above ground level.

Reason: To provide adequate visibility between the access and the existing public highway for the safety and convenience of users of the highway and of the access.

5 Prior to occupation of the development, space shall be laid out within the site for parking for cars, loading and manoeuvring, in accordance with the approved plans. This area shall be permanently maintained for this purpose.

Reason: To enable vehicles to draw off, park and turn clear of the highway to minimise danger, obstruction and inconvenience to users of the adjoining highway.

6 Prior to the first occupation of the development, the access shall be designed and constructed in accordance with the approved plans.

Reason: To minimise danger and inconvenience to highway users; in accordance with Policy TR2 of the Chiltern District Local Plan Adopted 1 September 1997 (including alterations adopted 29 May 2001) consolidated September 2007 and November 2011, and Policies CS25 and CS26 of the Core Strategy for Chiltern District (Adopted November 2011).

7 Prior to the occupation of the dwellings hereby permitted, the boundary treatments shown on the approved plans shall be installed and shall thereafter retained in situ.

Reason: To protect the amenities and privacy of the adjoining properties, in accordance with policy GC3 of the Chiltern District Local Plan Adopted 1 September 1997 (including alterations adopted 29 May 2001) consolidated September 2007 and November 2011.

8 Notwithstanding the provisions of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015 (or any Order revoking or re-enacting that Order, with or without modification), no windows or roof lights other than those shown on the approved plans, shall be inserted or constructed at any time at first floor level or above in either flank elevation of the roof or of the dwellings hereby permitted.

Reason: To protect the amenities and privacy of the adjoining properties, in accordance with policy GC3 of the Chiltern District Local Plan Adopted 1 September 1997 (including alterations adopted 29 May 2001) consolidated September 2007 and November 2011.

The development hereby approved shall be implemented in accordance with the tree and hedge protection measures described in the Arboricultural Implications Assessment and Method Statement Ref 181008 - AIA dated 12 February 2018 by Ecourban Ltd Arboricultural Consultancy and the revised Tree Protection Plan ECO 3 submitted on 7 June 2018. This shall include the use of tree protection fencing, ground protection measures and no-dig construction in accordance with these documents. In addition no-dig construction shall be used for the pedestrian access path to the dwelling H1.

Reason: To ensure that the existing established trees and hedgerows in and around the site that are to be retained, including their roots, do not suffer significant damage during building operations, in accordance with Policy GC4 of the Chiltern District Local Plan Adopted 1 September 1997 (including alterations adopted 29 May 2001) Consolidated September 2007 and November 2011.

No tree or hedge shown to be retained on the revised Tree Protection Plan ECO 3 submitted on 7 June 2018 by Ecourban Ltd Arboricultural Consultancy shall be removed, uprooted, destroyed or pruned for a period of five years from the date of implementation of the development hereby approved without the prior approval in writing of the Local Planning Authority. If any retained tree or hedge is removed, uprooted or destroyed, or dies during that period, another tree or hedge shall be planted of such size and species as shall be agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Furthermore, the existing soil levels within the root protection areas of the retained trees and hedges shall not be altered.

Reason: To ensure the retention of the existing established trees and hedgerows within the site that are in sound condition and of good amenity and wildlife value, in accordance with Policy GC4 of the Chiltern District Local Plan Adopted 1 September 1997 (including alterations adopted 29 May 2001) Consolidated September 2007 and November 2011.

11 AP01 Approved Plans

CH/2018/0619/AV

Case Officer: Emma Showan

Date Received: 03.04.2018 Decide by Date: 09.07.2018

Parish: Penn Ward: Penn And Coleshill

App Type: Advert

Proposal: Display of advertisement boards to the southern side of football pitch

Location: Penn and Tylers Green Football Club

Elm Road Penn

Buckinghamshire

HP10 8LG

Applicant: Penn and Tylers Green Football Club

SITE CONSTRAINTS

Article 4 Direction

Adjacent Conservation Areas

Adjacent to A and B Road

Area of Special Advertising Control

Adjacent Listed Buildings

Adjacent Archaeological Notification Site

Within Chilterns Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Archaeological site

Adjacent Public Footpaths and Public Rights of Way

Biodiversity Opportunity Areas

Conservation Area

Within Green Belt other than GB4 GB5

Public footpath/bridleway

A and B Roads

Within 500m of Site of Importance to Nature Conservation NC1

Townscape Character

Adjoining Public Amenity Open Space

Public Amenity Open Space

Established Residential Area of Special Character

CALL IN

Councillor Burton has requested that this application be determined by the Planning Committee if the Officer recommendation is for approval.

SITE LOCATION

This application relates to Penn and Tylers Green Football Club which is located in the open Green Belt outside of Penn. The football club is accessed off Elm Road which is the main road through the settlement of Penn and the football club is sited to the rear of a number of residential dwellings and their gardens which front Elm Road. The site is also located within the Chilterns Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty and it borders a Conservation Area and is adjacent to a number of Listed Buildings and a site of archaeological significance.

THE APPLICATION

This application proposes the erection of advertisement boards to the southern side of the football pitch.

The advertisement boards would have a maximum width of 2 metres and height of 0.75 metres. They would be affixed to the existing fencing.

RELEVANT PLANNING HISTORY

CH/2017/1958/FA - Erection of six retractable floodlight columns and lamps to light a football pitch plus an associated control cabinet, refused permission.

CH/2012/0306/FA - Levelling of ground to facilitate repositioning of existing football pitch with dug outs, surrounding fencing and relocation of existing storage container, conditional permission.

CH/2008/1272/FA - Replacement clubhouse, conditional permission.

CH/2007/1346/FA - Change of use from agricultural land to recreation use to provide an additional tennis court and children's mini court with 2.7m high fence surround and re-siting of existing hut. Refused permission as insufficient information has been supplied to demonstrate that there is a proven requirement for the additional facilities and the associated works proposed would involve a substantial change to the appearance of the area. The works would raise up and enclose this currently open area of land and would be detrimental to the openness of the Green Belt and beauty of the AONB.

CH/2005/2012/FA - Erection of stand, six 16 metre high poles each containing three floodlights, over flow car park, retaining walls and resiting of dugouts. Refused permission as the floodlights would represent inappropriate development within the Green Belt and would fail to enhance the AONB. They will rise more than twice the height of the listed buildings and will be seen in the background in views from Penn green which forms the heart of the conservation area. The lights would be at odds with the rural character of the village.

CH/2001/2038/FA - Retention of three replacement floodlights and removal of existing floodlight, conditional permission.

CH/1996/0179/FA - Erection of eight 8m high steel poles each containing two floodlights. Refused permission as the proposal would be inappropriate development in the Green Belt and would conflict with the AONB. The floodlights would result in increased activity and disturbance to nearby residents and would have a detrimental impact on their visual amenity.

CH/1989/0229/FA - Extension to existing football clubhouse to provide joint facilities for the football and cricket clubs. Refused permission as it would be contrary to the Green Belt designation and would be detrimental to the amenities and quiet enjoyment of nearby residential properties. There would also be inadequate provision for the parking of vehicles.

CH/1986/2292/FA - Replacement cricket pavilion, conditional permission.

PARISH COUNCIL

Concern - we are concerned about the proliferation of signage. If approval is granted, it should be on the condition that all other advertising signage is removed. Advertising signs should be taken down in May, June, July and August. Signs displayed should be restricted to the south side of the pitch to protect views into and out of the Green Belt/AONB.

REPRESENTATIONS

Six letters of objection received which can be summarised as follows:

- No justification for a large number of advertising boards on permanent display in the AONB
- The 2012 application for perimeter fencing was granted subject to a condition that the fencing will be of a post and single bar design and that no changes would be made to its external appearance
- It would be inequitable for retrospective planning permission to be granted for something that has previously been barred
- The applicant has a history of ignoring planning conditions
- Previous advertisement boards were only displayed during matches
- There is activity at the club at weekends, evenings and during the school holidays which impacts on the local residents' amenities
- Advertisements would be prominent and alien in the locality
- The boards would have a detrimental impact on the view of residents who look onto the club
- There is no indication that there will be any further limit on development at the site
- Piecemeal destruction of the Green Belt, AONB and conservation area
- The fact that the boards are required for income is not of consequence
- Visually obtrusive
- Hoardings are visible from public footpaths
- Other means of generating revenue
- Hoardings should be limited to a period when a league or cup game is being played on the pitch
- Local residents wouldn't be able to erect an advertisement hoarding so why should the football club?
- Breach of previous planning condition

CONSULTATIONS

Buckinghamshire County Highways Authority: The proposed development is for a sign on the south side of Penn and Tylers Green Football Club. The sign faces into the pitch, would not be lit and is considered unlikely to be visible from the public highway due to the residential properties on Elm Road. Therefore the Highway Authority has no objections or conditions to add.

POLICIES

National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), 2012.

Core Strategy for Chiltern District - Adopted November 2011: Policies CS4, CS20 and CS22.

The Chiltern District Local Plan Adopted 1 September 1997 (including alterations adopted 29 May 2001) Consolidated September 2007 & November 2011: Saved Policies: GC1, GC3, LSQ1, AS2, LB2 and CA2.

EVALUATION

Principle of development

- 1. The application site is located in the open Green Belt wherein proposals for advertisements are acceptable in principle provided they do not have an adverse effect on local amenities or public safety, in accordance with the NPPF. The site also falls within the Chilterns Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) where development should conserve, and where considered appropriate and practicable, enhance the special landscape character and high scenic quality of the AONB.
- 2. It is also noted planning permission was granted in 2012 for the levelling of ground to facilitate repositioning of the existing football pitch with dug outs, surrounding fencing and the relocation of existing storage container. This application was granted permission on the basis of a number of conditions, including one which specifically referred to the fencing. This condition stated: 'The perimeter fencing surrounding the relocated football pitch shall be of a post and single bar design as shown on the additional information

Page 13

received on 2 May 2012. No change shall be made to the external appearance of the fencing and no additional bars shall be added to the fencing at any time.' The reason for its inclusion was to ensure that the Local Planning Authority can properly consider whether any future proposals would be detrimental to the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty or the openness of the Green Belt. As this condition concerns the perimeter fencing upon which it is proposed to affix advertisement boards, it is of relevance in the assessment of this application.

Design/character & appearance

- 3. The application proposes the erection of advertisement boards along the fencing of the southern side of the football pitch. The boards would have a maximum height of 0.75 metres and width of 2 metres and would be of varying colours dependent on the advertiser. They would face into the pitch. The rear of the boards (facing away from the pitch) would be painted dark green. The boards would not exceed the height of the existing fence and they would only be located along the southern side of the football pitch, where they would face into the pitch and towards the club house.
- 4. It is noted that a number of representations have been received raising concern that the proposed advertisements would be out of character with the Conservation Area, the Green Belt and the Chilterns AONB. Taking these in turn, the low height and location of the proposed advertisements is such that they would not be located within the Conservation Area itself and nor would they be visible from the public highway or from within the Conservation Area. They would primarily be visible from the football club itself and from views from the dwellings backing onto the football pitch. Accordingly the advertisements are not considered to impede on views of the Conservation Area. In terms of the impact on the Green Belt, the proposed advertisement boards would not lead to an increase in the height of the existing fencing, as approved under planning permission reference CH/2012/0306/FA. The advertisements are only proposed to be sited along one side of the football pitch (and this could be secured by way of condition) so the proposal is not considered to be overly intrusive in terms of views into the Green Belt. Furthermore, the advertisements would be confined to the southern fence of the football pitch and it is not considered that their impact would be detrimental to the openness of the Green Belt, especially as they would be no taller than the existing fence which has been erected to surround the pitch. They would not introduce additional built form in this location. Finally, in regards to the impact of the proposal on the AONB, again, given the modest size of the advertisements and the fact that the use of the advertising boards is linked to the sport and recreation use on site, it is considered that they would be acceptable. The signs would clearly appear to be associated with the sporting club and it is not considered that they would impede on views of the open countryside given that they would face towards the club house, would be modest in size, and would be erected on existing fencing.

Residential amenity

5. The proposed signage would not in and of itself have a detrimental impact on neighbouring amenity given that it would be erected on existing fencing and would be unlit. A number of neighbours are concerned that the erection of signage would impede on their views of the existing countryside and AONB. However, the right to a view is not a planning consideration and given that the advertisements would not result in an increase to the height of the existing fencing, it is not considered that the advertisements would be detrimental to neighbouring amenities. Concerns in regards to the fact that the sports club is used at weekends, evenings and in school holidays are not considerations relevant to this application and neither can this application be recommended for refusal on the basis that the Club has put in repeated applications for development on the site resulting in neighbouring concerns of 'creeping development'. This application can only assess the proposed erection of advertisement boards and not the impact of any future development on site which would be subject to future planning applications.

Parking/Highway implications

6. Given the nature of the proposal, there are not considered to be any adverse parking implications and the Highways Officer too has confirmed that there would not be a detrimental impact on the local highway.

Working with the applicant

In accordance with paragraphs 186 and 187 of the National Planning Policy Framework, the Council, in dealing with this application, has worked in a positive and proactive way with the Applicant / Agent and has focused on seeking solutions to the issues arising from the development proposal.

Chiltern District Council works with applicants/agents in a positive and proactive manner by:

- offering a pre-application advice service,
- updating applicants/agents of any issues that may arise in the processing of their application as appropriate and, where possible and appropriate, suggesting solutions.

In this case, Chiltern District Council has considered the details as submitted which were considered acceptable.

Human Rights

The following recommendation is made having regard to the above and also to the content of the Human Rights Act 1998.

RECOMMENDATION: Conditional consent Subject to the following conditions:-

1 This consent is granted for a limited period of five years from the date of this decision notice. At the expiration of this period the advertisement hereby permitted shall be removed from the site, unless a further consent has already been obtained from the Local Planning Authority for its retention.

Reason: To enable the Local Planning Authority to review the effect of this advertisement on its setting in the light of changing circumstances, and to comply with the provisions of Regulation 14 (7) of the Town & Country Planning (Control of Advertisements) (England) Regulations 2007.

- 2 The following standard conditions contained in Schedule 2 to the above mentioned Regulations:-
- (1) No advertisement is to be displayed without the permission of the owner of the site or any other person with an interest in the site entitled to grant permission.
 - (2) No advertisement shall be sited or displayed so as to -
- (a) endanger persons using any highway, railway, waterway, dock, harbour or aerodrome (civil or military);
- (b) obscure, or hinder the ready interpretation of, any traffic sign, railway signal or aid to navigation by water or air; or
- (c) hinder the operation of any device used for the purpose of security or surveillance or for measuring the speed of any vehicle.
- (3) Any advertisement displayed, and any site used for the display of advertisements, shall be maintained in a condition that does not impair the visual amenity of the site.
- (4) Any structure or hoarding erected or used principally for the purpose of displaying advertisements shall be maintained in a condition that does not endanger the public.
- (5) Where an advertisement is required under these Regulations to be removed, the site shall be left in a condition that does not endanger the public or impair visual amenity.

Reason: In the interests of amenity and highway safety.

3 The proposed advertisement boards shall be erected along the southern side of the football pitch, in accordance with the approved plans. They shall not be erected along the north, east or west fencing.

Reason: In order that the Local Planning Authority can properly consider whether any future proposals would be detrimental to the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty or the openness of the Green Belt.

4 Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 55 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, no external or internal lighting shall be incorporated within the proposed advertisements hereby permitted without first receiving approval in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To ensure that the amenities of the neighbouring properties are not harmed and to ensure that there is no detrimental impact upon the openness and rural character of the Green Belt.

CH/2018/0776/FA

Case Officer: Murtaza Poptani

Date Received: 27.04.2018 Decide by Date: 22.06.2018
Parish: Chalfont St Peter Ward: Central

App Type: Full Application

Proposal: Front, side and rear extensions with raising of roof to provide first floor

accommodation with front and rear dormers

Location: Noigls

2 Chestnut Close Chalfont St Peter Buckinghamshire

SL9 OAE

Applicant: Mr R Messias

SITE CONSTRAINTS

Article 4 Direction
Mineral Consultation Area
Northolt Safeguard zone
Townscape Character
Established Residential Area of Special Character (ERASC)

CALL IN

Councillor Harrold has requested this application is referred to the Planning Committee, if the Officers' recommendation is for approval.

SITE LOCATION

The application site accommodates a detached bungalow located to the south-eastern corner of the cul-desac of Chestnut Close. It is situated within a large near rectangular shaped curtilage and benefits from off road parking to the front driveway. The site is flanked by a detached bungalow of similar architectural appearance to the west, detached bungalows along Joiners Lane to the north and two storey detached dwellings to the south and south-east. The site is situated within a built-up area of Chalfont St Peter and is also within an Established Residential Area of Special Character.

THE APPLICATION

The application proposes the erection of front, side and rear extensions with the raising of the roof to provide first floor accommodation, with front and rear dormers. The front element of the extension would measure a maximum of 8.5 metres in width and 2.8 metres in depth and the rear element would measure 8 metres in width and 5.7 metres in depth. Both of these extensions would be set under a new roof extending over the property, with a maximum height of 6.8 metres. Pitched roof dormers would be erected to the front and rear roof slopes along with gabled features. The extension to the north-eastern flank elevation would measure 2.1 metres in width, 5 metres in depth and 5.9 metres in height.

RELEVANT PLANNING HISTORY

None in connection with this application.

PARISH COUNCIL

No objections.

REPRESENTATIONS

Seven letters of representation received which are summarised as follows:

- There are covenants on the bungalows in Chestnut Close that they should remain bungalows [Officer Note: this is not a planning consideration].
- The resultant house will be overbearing to us compared with a single storey bungalow on the site as the increased footprint with its added height would impact us directly.
- The proposed dwelling is out of character with existing dwellings in this ERASC area.
- The increased roof height and enlarged footprint with additional stories will dominate the adjacent bungalow at No 3.
- The frontage building line to the existing road should be generally maintained and the general height of buildings in the vicinity of the application site in the Established Residential Area of Special Character should not be exceeded.
- I object to the plans for Noigls currently submitted because the size of the resultant house will affect the amenity of our main habitable room. There would be a raised roofline and the new roof would be some 6.5 metres nearer to our property than exists currently.
- The overbearing appearance would provide identifiable harm to our main habitable room.
- There would be a loss of privacy from the upper floor glazing to both the front and rear elevations.
- The proposed materials would be out of keeping.

Rebuttal letters have also been submitted by the applicants and their agent.

CONSULTATIONS

None relevant.

POLICIES

National Planning Policy Framework.

Core Strategy for Chiltern District - Adopted November 2011: Policies CS4 and CS20.

The Chiltern District Local Plan Adopted 1 September 1997 (including alterations adopted 29 May 2001) Consolidated September 2007 & November 2011: Saved Policies GC1, GC3, H13, H14, H15, H16, H17, H18, TR11, TR16.

Residential Extension and Householder Development Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) - September 2013.

Sustainable Construction and Renewable Energy SPD - Adopted 25 February 2015.

The Chalfont St Peter Neighbourhood Plan: 2013 - 2028: Policies H6 and H7.

EVALUATION

Principle of development

1. The site is located in an Established Residential Area of Special Character of Chalfont St Peter where extensions to existing dwellings are acceptable in principle, subject to complying with the relevant policies of the Development Plan.

Page 18

Design/character & appearance

2. As aforementioned, the application site is flanked by a detached bungalow of similar architectural appearance to the west, detached bungalows along Joiners Lane to the north and two storey detached dwellings to the south and south-east. The application property is a detached bungalow with a centrally pitched gable ended roof and gable projections to the front and rear elevations. The application proposes front, side and rear extensions and a first floor extension over the existing dwelling in the form of a replacement centrally pitched gable ended roof, with a hipped and gable section to the rear, gable feature to the front and dormers to the front and rear elevations. The resultant dwelling would keep a simple design similar to the neighbouring dwellings within the locality which are also characterised by pitched roofs and dormers to their respective elevations. Importantly, the low eaves level would be retained, and the chalet appearance of the extended dwelling would not appear markedly out of character with other bungalows, as the overall scale would be similar. The side elevations of the resultant dwelling would be set at a comparable distance from the side boundaries at first floor level as the other nearby dwellings. Taking into account the design of the resultant dwelling, it is considered that it would integrate acceptably with the variety of properties in the vicinity of the application site. The dwelling is situated to the south-eastern corner of the culde-sac, is set back from the highway boundary and is not particularly prominent from surrounding public vistas. In addition, given that space would be retained around the dwelling it is considered that the resultant dwelling would not appear unduly cramped within its plot. The dormers to the front and rear elevations would be modest in size and would not dominate the respective elevations. The proposal would continue to maintain a partial bungalow appearance which would be reflective of neighbouring properties and it is considered that by virtue of its siting and design, the resultant dwelling would not appear as an unduly prominent or visually obtrusive feature within the street scene, such that it would not detract from the character and appearance of the locality and this part of the Established Residential Area of Special Character. No objections are therefore raised with regard to Local Plan Policies GC1, H13, H14, H15, H16, H17, H18 and Core Strategy Policy CS20.

Residential amenity

- 3. Policy GC2 states that the design and layout of proposed buildings and extensions to existing buildings enables adjoining land or buildings to be protected from significant loss of sunlight and that sufficient sunlight and daylight reaches into, between and around proposed buildings and extensions to existing buildings. In association with the assessment of potential loss of light and overshadowing, guidance within the Building Research Establishment (BRE) Report "Site layout planning for daylight and sunlight: a guide to good practice" (2011) is utilised as a standard for assessing acceptable levels of visual amenity with concern to loss of light.
- 4. With regards to the adjacent dwelling to the west at No. 3 Chestnut Close, the depth of the existing dwelling would remain unchanged along the shared boundary. The rear extension would have a projection of 1 metre beyond the existing dwelling and would be sited 6.5 metres from the shared side boundary, which is a significant separation. In accordance with BRE Report guidance, a 45 degree line was drawn from the midpoint of the closest rear facing patio doors of this neighbouring property towards the proposed rear and first floor extension and it would not be intersected, thus showing no material loss of light or overshadowing would occur. In addition, the low eaves height along the flank of the application dwelling (2.3 metres) would remain, and the increase in ridge height would be set some way away from the neighbouring dwelling and would only be 1 metre higher than the existing ridge. Given the identified sufficient separation distance from the extension, coupled with the low eaves and sloping roof, away from the boundary, it would not possible to substantiate an objection regarding the impact on the amenities of this neighbouring property.
- 5. With regards to the property to the south-east at Hartwell in Winkers Close, this property benefits from a garden area spanning around the northern, western and southern sides of the dwelling. It is acknowledged

that the proposed rear element of the extension would be visible from the north-western rear part of the neighbouring garden, due to the angled relationship between the properties, however, this neighbouring dwelling has a significant garden area to the south-west which would remain largely unaffected by the proposed extensions. The enlarged application dwelling would still retain a low chalet bungalow appearance, with low eaves and a ridge only around 1 metre taller than the existing highest ridge. Furthermore, the main windows to this dwelling are situated on the south-western elevation facing away from the application site. The dwelling to the north at No. 1 Chestnut Close is in excess of 40 metres away from the front elevation of the application dwelling and the dwelling at No. 44 Joiners Lane is in excess of 30 metres away, such that the proposed works would not appear overbearing or intrusive when viewed from this significant distance. The properties to the rear at Beechlawn and Hathersage are sited a satisfactory distance away such that they would not be adversely affected by the proposed development. No objections are therefore raised with regards to Policies GC3, H13(i) and H14.

Parking/Highway implications

6. The proposal will increase the floor area of the dwelling from less than 120sqm to more than 120sqm, and therefore the parking standard for the dwelling would increase from 2 to 3 spaces. The hardstanding area and garage to the front has sufficient space to accommodate several cars; in excess of three, therefore no objections can be raised on parking grounds. The proposal therefore complies with Policies TR11 and TR16.

Conclusions

7. For the aforementioned reasons, the application is recommended for approval.

Working with the applicant

- 8. Chiltern District Council works with applicants/agents in a positive and proactive manner by:
- offering a pre-application advice service,
- updating applicants/agents of any issues that may arise in the processing of their application as appropriate and, where possible and appropriate, suggesting solutions.

In this case, Chiltern District Council has considered the submitted plans which are considered acceptable.

Human Rights

9. The following recommendation is made having regard to the above and also to the content of the Human Rights Act 1998.

RECOMMENDATION: Conditional Permission Subject to the following conditions:-

- 1 C108A General Time Limit
- Before any construction work commences, named types or details of the roofing materials to be used for the external construction of the new roof hereby permitted shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The work shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details. Furthermore, the facing materials to be used for the external walls of the extensions hereby permitted shall be of a similar appearance to those used for the existing dwelling.

Reason: To ensure that the external appearance of the development is not detrimental to the character of the locality.

Notwithstanding the provisions of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015 (or any Order revoking or re-enacting that Order, with or without modification), no

windows/dormers/rooflights other than those expressly authorised by this permission, shall be inserted or constructed at any time at first floor level or above in the flank elevations or roofslopes of the extensions hereby permitted.

Reason: To protect the amenities and privacy of the adjacent properties.

4 AP01 Approved Plans

The End